arXiv:quant-ph/9610002v1 2 Oct 1996

Multi-Periodic Coherent States
and
the WKB-Exactness II

"Non-compact Case and Classical theories Revisited"

Kazuyuki FUJII [*]
Department of Mathematics, Yokohama City University,
Yokohama 236, Japan

Kunio FUNAHASHI [†]
Department of Physics, Kyushu University,
Fukuoka 812-81, Japan

October, 1996

Abstract

We show that the WKB approximation gives the exact result in the trace formula of "CQ^N", which is the non-compact counterpart of CP^N, in terms of the "multi-periodic" coherent state. We revisit the symplectic 2-forms on CP^N and CQ^N and, especially, construct that on CQ^N with the unitary form. We also revisit the exact calculation of the classical patition functions of them.

1 Introduction

Recently there have been many discussions [1, 2, 3, 4] on the system in which the WKB approximation gives the exact result (We call this fact as the "WKB-exactness") in path integral in connection with the Duistermaat-Heckman (DH) theorem [5, 6]. As for our work we have shown that $SU(2)$ spin and its non-compact counterpart $SU(1,1)$ are the WKB-exact in the path integral formulas constructed in terms of the spin coherent state with discrete time method [7]. We have also shown [8, 9] the WKB-exactness of their extensions; some unitary representations of $U(N+1)$ and $U(N,1)$, whose phase spaces are CP^N and CQ^N (the definition is given in section 4) respectively in terms of the generalized coherent states [10] by the help of the Schwinger boson method [11].

Then there arises a question whether the WKB-exactness holds in some special coherent states. It is important to investigate the WKB-exactness of the same systems in terms of other coherent states. With respect to the $SU(2)$ spin, there is another expression, the Nielsen-Rohrlich formula [12], which is constructed in terms of the periodic coherent state [13]. We have shown the WKB-exactness of the Nielsen-Rohrlich formula [14] and its extension to $U(N+1)$ in terms of the "multi-periodic" coherent state [15] although their handling is more delicate than that of the generalized coherent state cases. In the fisrt half of this paper, we discuss the WKB-exactness in the trace formula of some representation of $U(N,1)$.

In the latter half of this paper we revisit classical systems whose phase spaces are CP^N and CQ^N respectivly. We reconsider the symplectic 2-forms on CP^N and CQ^N. It is matter of course that they are easily constructed as we perform. As an alternative way, embedding CQ^N to $CP(l^2(C))$ skillfully, we construct the symplectic 2-form on CQ^N by the pullback of $CP(l^2(C))$. This embedding gives the unitary expression of CQ^N. Thus we can comprehend the symplectic 2-forms on CP^N and CQ^N in a unified manner. Next we revisit the exact calculations of the classical partition function of CP^N and

---

[*] e-mail address : fujii@yokohama-cu.ac.jp

[†] e-mail address : fnhs1scp@mbox.nc.kyushu-u.ac.jp

CQ^N. The applications of DH theorem on classical systems had been already made to the flag manifold [16]. However the exact calculation which should be compared with the result of the stationary phase approximation is very complicated. We make an attempt to calculate the partition functions of CP^N and CQ^N by the direct calculation and by lifting to the Gaussian integral forms. The comparison between these two methods gives us deep understanding of the DH theorem. We also consider the construction of the partition function of CQ^N by the pullback of $CP(l^2(C))$.

2 Trace Formula and the WKB-Exactness of Path Integral

In this section we show the WKB-exactness of a representation of CQ^N. In subsection 2.1 we construct the trace formula by the help of the Schwinger boson method [11]. We calculate the trace formula exactly in subsection 2.2. Then in subsection 2.3 we make the WKB approximation and compare the result with that of the exact calculation.

2.1 Construction of the Trace Formula

$u(N,1)$ algebra is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} &[E_{\alpha\beta}, E_{\gamma\delta}] = \eta_{\beta\gamma}E_{\alpha\delta} - \eta_{\delta\alpha}E_{\gamma\beta} \ , \\ &\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{diag}(1,\cdots,1,-1) \ , \ (\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta = 1,\cdots,N+1) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

with a subsidiary condition

$$-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} E_{\alpha\alpha} + E_{N+1,N+1} = K \ , \ (K = N, N+1, \cdots) \ . \tag{2.2}$$

We identify these generators with creation and annihilation operators of harmonic oscillator:

$$\begin{array}{rr} E_{\alpha\beta} = a_\alpha^\dagger a_\beta, & E_{\alpha,N+1} = a_\alpha^\dagger a_{N+1}^\dagger \\ E_{N+1,\alpha} = a_{N+1} a_\alpha, & E_{N+1,N+1} = a_{N+1}^\dagger a_{N+1} + 1 \end{array} \ , \tag{2.3}$$

where a, $a^\dagger$ satisfy

$$[a_\alpha, a^\dagger_\beta] = 1 \ , \ [a_\alpha, a_\beta] = [a^\dagger_\alpha, a^\dagger_\beta] = 0 \ , \\ (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, \cdots, N+1) \ , \tag{2.4}$$

and the Fock space is

$$\{|n_1, \cdots, n_{N+1}\rangle | n_1, n_2, \cdots, n_{N+1} = 0, 1, 2, \cdots\} \ , \\ |n_1, \cdots, n_{N+1}\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{n_1! \cdots n_{N+1}!}} \left(a^\dagger_1\right)^{n_1} \cdots \left(a^\dagger_{N+1}\right)^{n_{N+1}} |0, 0, \cdots, 0\rangle \ . \tag{2.5}$$

The representation space is

$$1_K \equiv \sum_{\{n\}=0}^{\infty} |n_1, \cdots, n_N, K-1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} n_\alpha\rangle\langle n_1, \cdots, n_N, K-1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} n_\alpha| \ , \tag{2.6}$$

where

$$\sum_{\{n\}=0}^{\infty} \equiv \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_2=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_N=0}^{\infty} \ . \tag{2.7}$$

The "multi-periodic" coherent state is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} |\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle &\equiv |\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_N\rangle \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \sum_{\{m\}=0}^{\infty} \sqrt{\frac{(K-1)!}{m_1! \cdots m_N! \left(K-1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha\right)!}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left\{ e^{-i m_\alpha \varphi_\alpha} (E_{\alpha,N+1})^{m_\alpha} \right\} |0, \cdots, 0, K-1\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{N/2}} \sum_{\{m\}=0}^{\infty} e^{-i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha \varphi_\alpha} |m_1, \cdots, m_N, K-1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha\rangle \ , \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

which has the periodic property

$$|\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_\alpha + 2n\pi, \cdots, \varphi_N\rangle = |\varphi_1, \cdots, \varphi_\alpha, \cdots, \varphi_N\rangle \ , \tag{2.9}$$

for each $\varphi_\alpha (\alpha = 1, \cdots, N)$. These states satisfy the resolution of unity

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\varphi_\alpha |\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle\langle\boldsymbol{\varphi}| = 1_K \ . \tag{2.10}$$

Their inner product is

$$\langle\boldsymbol{\varphi}|\boldsymbol{\varphi}'\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^N} \sum_{\{m\}=0}^{\infty} e^{i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} m_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi'_\alpha)} \ . \tag{2.11}$$

We adopt the Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H} &\equiv \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N+1} c_\alpha E_{\alpha\alpha} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_\alpha E_{\alpha\alpha} + K c_{N+1} \ , \qquad (2.12)\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mu_\alpha \equiv c_\alpha + c_{N+1} \ . \qquad (2.13)$$

Its matrix element is

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} | \hat{H} | \boldsymbol{\varphi}' \rangle = \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial (i\varphi_\alpha)} + K c_{N+1} \right) \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} | \boldsymbol{\varphi}' \rangle \ . \qquad (2.14)$$

To construct a path integral formula, we rewrite the inner product (2.11), with the help of the formula

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{m=m_0}^{m_1} e^{im\varphi} f(m) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{m_0-\varepsilon_0}^{m_1+\varepsilon_0} dp e^{ip(\varphi+2n\pi)} f(p) \ , \\ &(0 < \varepsilon_0 < 1) \ , \\ &\qquad \text{for } m_0, m_1 \in \mathbf{Z} \ , \qquad (2.15)\end{aligned}$$

to

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} | \boldsymbol{\varphi}' \rangle &= \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[\frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\varepsilon_0}^{\infty} dp_\alpha e^{ip_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi'_\alpha + 2n_\alpha\pi)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{\{n_\alpha\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\varepsilon_0}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{dp_\alpha}{2\pi} e^{i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} p_\alpha(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi'_\alpha + 2n_\alpha\pi)} \ . \qquad (2.16)\end{aligned}$$

We make a change of variables such that

$$\tilde{p}_\alpha = p_\alpha + \frac{1}{2} \ , \ \varepsilon = -\varepsilon_0 + \frac{1}{2} \ , \ \left(0 \le \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \right) \ , \qquad (2.17)$$

to obtain

$$\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi} | \boldsymbol{\varphi}' \rangle = \sum_{\{n_\alpha\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\tilde{p}_\alpha e^{i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\tilde{p}_\alpha - 1/2)(\varphi_\alpha - \varphi'_\alpha + 2n_\alpha\pi)} \ . \qquad (2.18)$$

The Feynman kernel is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}K(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_I; T) &\equiv \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_F | e^{-i\hat{H}T} | \boldsymbol{\varphi}_I \rangle \\ &= \lim_{M\to\infty} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_F | \Big(1 - i\Delta t \hat{H} \Big)^M | \boldsymbol{\varphi}_I \rangle \ , \ (\Delta t \equiv T/M) \ , \qquad (2.19)\end{aligned}$$

where $\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F)$ is the initial (final) state and T is time interval. By inserting the resolution of unity (2.10) between each $(1 - i\Delta t\hat{H})$ of its product, the kernel becomes

$$K(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_I; T) = \lim_{M\to\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi} \times \prod_{j=1}^{M} \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}(j) | \Big(1 - i\Delta t\hat{H}\Big) | \boldsymbol{\varphi}(j-1)\rangle \Big|_{\boldsymbol{\varphi}(0)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I}^{\boldsymbol{\varphi}(M)=\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F} \ . \tag{2.20}$$

Each term of the product becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}(j) | \Big(1 - i\Delta t\hat{H}\Big) | \boldsymbol{\varphi}(j-1)\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\{n(j)\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} dp_\alpha(j) e^{i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(p_\alpha(j)+1/2)(\varphi_\alpha(j)-\varphi_\alpha(j-1)+2n_\alpha(j)\pi)} \\
&\quad \times \left[1 - i\Delta t\Big\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_\alpha\Big(p_\alpha(j) - \frac{1}{2}\Big) + Kc_{N+1}\Big\}\right] \\
&= \sum_{\{n(j)\}=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} dp_\alpha(j) e^{i\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(p_\alpha(j)+1/2)(\varphi_\alpha(j)-\varphi_\alpha(j-1)+2n_\alpha(j)\pi)} \\
&\quad \times \exp\left[- i\Delta t\Big\{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \mu_\alpha\Big(p_\alpha(j) - \frac{1}{2}\Big) + Kc_{N+1}\Big\}\right] ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.21}$$

where the primes of p's have been omitted and the explicit form of the inner product (2.18) has been put in the first equality and $O(\Delta t^2)$ terms, which vanish in the $M \to \infty$ limit, have been omitted in the second equality. Thus the kernel (2.20) is

$$\begin{aligned}
K(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_I; T) &= \lim_{M\to\infty} e^{-iKc_{N+1}T} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[\left(\prod_{i=1}^{M} \sum_{n_\alpha(i)=-\infty}^{\infty}\right) \int_0^{2\pi} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi} \int_\varepsilon^\infty \prod_{j=1}^{M} dp_\alpha(j) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\Big(p_\alpha(k) - \frac{1}{2}\Big)(\varphi_\alpha(k) - \varphi_\alpha(k-1) + 2n_\alpha(k)\pi - \Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\right] \\
&= \lim_{M\to\infty} e^{-iKc_{N+1}T} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{n'_\alpha(M)=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{d\varphi'_\alpha(i)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{i=1}^{M} dp_\alpha(j) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\Big(p_\alpha(k) - \frac{1}{2}\Big)(\varphi'_\alpha(k) - \varphi'_\alpha(k-1) - \Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\right] \Bigg|_{\varphi'_\alpha(0)=(\varphi_I)_\alpha}^{\varphi'_\alpha(M)=(\varphi_F)_\alpha+2n'_\alpha(M)\pi} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

where changing variables

$$\begin{aligned}
n'_\alpha(k) &= \sum_{l=1}^{k} n_\alpha(l) \ , \\
\varphi'_\alpha(k) &= \varphi_\alpha(k) + 2n'_\alpha(k)\pi \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

which leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\varphi_\alpha(k)-\varphi_\alpha(k-1)+2n_\alpha(k)\pi=\varphi'_\alpha(k)-\varphi'_\alpha(k-1)\ ,\\&\sum_{n_\alpha(i)=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_{2n_\alpha(i)\pi}^{2(n_\alpha(i)+1)\pi}d\varphi_\alpha(i)=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}d\varphi'_\alpha(i)\ ,\end{aligned}\tag{2.24}$$

has been made. To compare the kernel with that of the compact case, we further put

$$p_\alpha(k)=\cosh(\theta_\alpha(k))-1\ .\tag{2.25}$$

Finally we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}K(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I;T)=\lim_{M\to\infty}&e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\Bigg[e^{i\frac{3}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha(M)=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\frac{3}{2}(\varphi_\alpha(M)-\varphi_\alpha(0))}\\&\times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{M}\sinh(\theta_\alpha(j))d\theta_\alpha(j)\\&\times\exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\cosh(\theta_\alpha(k))(\varphi_\alpha(k)-\varphi_\alpha(k-1)-\Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\Bigg]\Bigg|_{\varphi_\alpha(0)=(\varphi_I)_\alpha}^{\varphi_\alpha(M)=(\varphi_F)_\alpha+2n_\alpha(M)\pi}\end{aligned}\tag{2.26}$$

in the θ-expression.

The trace formula is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}Z&\equiv\int_0^{2\pi}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}d\varphi_\alpha\langle\boldsymbol{\varphi}|e^{-i\hat{H}T}|\boldsymbol{\varphi}\rangle\\&\equiv\int_0^{2\pi}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}d\varphi_\alpha K(\boldsymbol{\varphi}_F,\boldsymbol{\varphi}_I;T)\ .\end{aligned}\tag{2.27}$$

From (2.26), the explicit form is

$$\begin{aligned}Z=\lim_{M\to\infty}&e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\Bigg[e^{i\frac{3}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i3n_\alpha\pi}\\&\times\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi}\int_0^{\infty}\prod_{j=1}^{M}\sinh(\theta_\alpha(j))d\theta_\alpha(j)\\&\times\exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\cosh(\theta_\alpha(k))(\varphi_\alpha(k)-\varphi_\alpha(k-1)-\Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\Bigg]\Bigg|_{\varphi_\alpha(M)=\varphi_\alpha(0)+2n_\alpha\pi}\ .\end{aligned}\tag{2.28}$$

This is the trace formula of CQ^N.

Comparing (2.28) with the trace formula of CP^N [15],

$$Z=e^{-iQc_{N+1}T+i\frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}2^{-\alpha+1}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_1=-\infty}^{\infty}\cdots\sum_{n_N=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i\frac{1}{N+1}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}2^{-\alpha+1}2n_\alpha\pi}$$

$$\times \lim_{M\to\infty}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left\{\int_{2n_\alpha\pi}^{2(n_\alpha+1)\pi}d\varphi_\alpha(M)\right\}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M-1}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}d\varphi_\alpha(i)$$

$$\times\prod_{j=1}^{M}\left\{\lambda^N\int_0^\pi\left(\sin^2\frac{\theta_1(j)}{2}\right)^{N-1}\sin\theta_1(j)\frac{d\theta_1(j)}{2\pi}\right.$$

$$\left.\times\cdots\int_0^\pi\sin^2\frac{\theta_{N-1}(j)}{2}\sin\theta_{N-1}(j)\frac{d\theta_{N-1}(j)}{2\pi}\int_0^\pi\sin\theta_N(j)\frac{d\theta_N(j)}{2\pi}\right\}$$

$$\times\exp\left[2i\lambda\sum_{k=1}^{M}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(\prod_{\beta=1}^{\alpha-1}\sin^2\frac{\theta_\beta(k)}{2}\right)\cos^2\frac{\theta_\alpha(k)}{2}\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\times(\varphi_\alpha(k)-\varphi_\alpha(k-1)-\Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\right|_{\varphi_\alpha(0)=\varphi_\alpha(M)+2n_\alpha\pi},$$

$$\mu_\alpha\equiv c_\alpha-c_{N+1}\ ,\ \ \lambda\equiv\frac{Q}{2}+\frac{1}{N+1}\ , \tag{2.29}$$

we find that (2.28) consists of the product of independent terms on α while (2.29) does not.

2.2 The Exact Calculation

We calculate the trace formula exactly. In the p-expression the trace formula is

$$Z=\lim_{M\to\infty}e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty\prod_{j=1}^{M}dp_\alpha(j)\right.$$

$$\left.\left.\times\exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\left(p_\alpha(k)-\frac{1}{2}\right)(\varphi_\alpha(k)-\varphi_\alpha(k-1)-\Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\right]\right|_{\varphi_\alpha(M)=\varphi_\alpha(0)}$$

$$=\lim_{M\to\infty}e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-in_\alpha\pi}\right.$$

$$\times\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M-1}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty\prod_{j=1}^{M}dp_\alpha(j)$$

$$\times\exp\Big[-i\sum_{k=1}^{M-1}(p_\alpha(k+1)-p_\alpha(k))\varphi_\alpha(k)+i(p_\alpha(M)-p_\alpha(1))\varphi_\alpha(M)$$

$$\left.\left.+ip_\alpha(1)\cdot 2n_\alpha\pi-i\Delta t\mu_\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{M}p_\alpha(k)\Big]\right]\right|_{\varphi_\alpha(M)=\varphi_\alpha(0)}. \tag{2.30}$$

By rewriting the φ-integrals to the δ-functions, (2.30) becomes

$$Z=\lim_{M\to\infty}e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-in_\alpha\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty\prod_{j=1}^{M}dp_\alpha(j)\right.$$

$$\times \exp\left[i(p_\alpha(M)-p_\alpha(1))\varphi_\alpha(M)+ip_\alpha(1)\cdot 2n_\alpha\pi - i\Delta t\mu_\alpha\sum_{k=1}^{M}p_\alpha(k)\right]$$

$$\times\prod_{l=1}^{M-1}\delta(p_\alpha(l+1)-p_\alpha(l))\Bigg]$$

$$=\lim_{M\to\infty} e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-in_\alpha\pi}\int_0^\infty dp_\alpha(M)e^{ip_\alpha(M)(2n_\alpha\pi-\mu_\alpha T)}\right]\ , \tag{2.31}$$

where $p_\alpha(k)$- and $\varphi_\alpha(M)$-integrals have been made in the second equality. Performing the $p_\alpha(M)$-integrals (We regularize $ip_\alpha(M)(2n_\alpha\pi-\mu_\alpha T)\to ip_\alpha(M)(2n_\alpha\pi-\mu_\alpha T+i\varepsilon)$ for the $p_\alpha(M)$-integrals to converge.), we obtain

$$Z=e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\frac{1}{i}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i2n_\alpha\pi\cdot\frac{1}{2}}}{2n_\alpha\pi+\mu_\alpha T}\right]\ , \tag{2.32}$$

where we have changed $n_\alpha\to -n_\alpha$. By applying the formula (see Appendix A);

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{e^{i2n\pi\varepsilon}}{2n\pi+\varphi}=\frac{ie^{-i\varphi\varepsilon}}{1-e^{-i\varphi}}\ ,\ (0<\varepsilon<1)\ , \tag{2.33}$$

the final form becomes

$$Z=\frac{e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(1-e^{-i\mu_\alpha T}\right)}\ . \tag{2.34}$$

2.3 The WKB Approximation

From the θ-expression of the trace formula (2.28), we read the solutions of the equations of motion as

$$\theta_\alpha(k)=0\ , \tag{2.35}$$

for all k with the φ_α's are arbitrary. We consider the WKB approximation as the large K expansion. We therefore make a change of variables such that

$$\theta_\alpha(k)=0+x_\alpha(k)/\sqrt{K}\ . \tag{2.36}$$

The leading order term of the expansion becomes

$$Z_0\equiv\lim_{M\to\infty}e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left[e^{i\frac{3}{2}\mu_\alpha T}\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty}e^{-i3n_\alpha\pi}\int_0^{2\pi}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi}\right.$$

$$\times\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\prod_{i=1}^{M}\frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi}\int_0^\infty\prod_{j=1}^{M}\frac{x_\alpha(j)dx_\alpha(j)}{K}$$

$$\left.\times\exp\left[i\sum_{k=1}^{M}\left(1+\frac{x_\alpha(k)^2}{2K}\right)(\varphi_\alpha(k)\varphi_\alpha(k-1)-\Delta t\mu_\alpha)\right]\right]\ . \tag{2.37}$$

Putting

$$y_\alpha(k) = \frac{x_\alpha(k)^2}{2K} \ , \tag{2.38}$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z_0 &= \lim_{M\to\infty} e^{-iKc_{N+1}T} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[e^{\frac{i}{2}\mu_\alpha T} \sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-in_\alpha \pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^{M} dy_\alpha(j) \exp\left[i \sum_{k=1}^{M} y_\alpha(k)(\varphi_\alpha(k) - \varphi_\alpha(k-1) - \Delta t \mu_\alpha) \right] \right] \\ &= \lim_{M\to\infty} e^{-iKc_{N+1}T} \prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} \left[\sum_{n_\alpha=-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(M)}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^{M-1} \frac{d\varphi_\alpha(i)}{2\pi} \int_0^\infty \prod_{j=1}^{M} dy_\alpha(j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \exp\left[i \sum_{k=1}^{M} \left(y_\alpha(k) - \frac{1}{2} \right) (\varphi_\alpha(k) - \varphi_\alpha(k-1) - \Delta t \mu_\alpha) \right] \right] \Bigg|_{\varphi_\alpha(M) = \varphi_\alpha(0)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{2.39}$$

Comparing (2.39) and the p-expression of the trace formula (2.30), we find that they are quite the same. Thus without any explicit calculations we obtain the result of the WKB approximation;

$$Z_0 = \frac{e^{-iKc_{N+1}T}}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\left(1 - e^{-i\mu_\alpha T}\right)} \ , \tag{2.40}$$

and conclude that *the WKB approximation gives the exact result.*

3 Symplectic 2-Forms on CP^N and CQ^N Revisited

So far we have dealt with quantum mechanics. In this section we revisit the (classical) symplectic 2-forms on CP^N and CQ^N. Especially we construct that on CQ^N with the unitary form to comprehend symplectic 2-forms in a unified manner with that on CP^N.

CP^N is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} CP^N &\equiv \left\{ P \in M(N+1; C) \middle| P^2 = P, P^\dagger = P, \mathrm{tr}P = 1 \right\} \\ &= \left\{ U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^{-1} \middle| U \in U(N+1) \right\} \\ &\cong \frac{U(N+1)}{U(1) \times U(N)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

CP^N is connected and has $N+1$ local chart:

$$CP^N = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \cdots \cup U_{N+1} \ . \tag{3.2}$$

An element P_1 on U_1 is

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &\equiv \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\left(\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)^{-1}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & \boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \ , \\ \tilde{\boldsymbol{\xi}} &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} \end{pmatrix} \ , \ \boldsymbol{\xi} \in \mathbf{C}^N \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and P_α on $U_\alpha(\alpha = 2, \cdots, N+1)$ is obtained from P_1 as

$$\begin{aligned} P_\alpha &= AP_1A^{-1} \ , \\ A &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & & 0 & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \vdots & & & \\ & & & 1 & & & & \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & & 0 & & & \\ & & & & & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The symplectic 2-form on CP^N is defined by

$$\omega_{CP^N} \equiv \mathrm{tr} P dP \wedge dP \ , \tag{3.5}$$

where it should be noted that this expression does not depend on any α. P in (3.3) is rewritten by

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \ , \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} &= \begin{pmatrix} \left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{-1} & \\ & \left(1_N+\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ -\boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

and then dP becomes

$$dP = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \left(1_N+\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} . \tag{3.7}$$

Thus, substituting (3.6) and (3.7) into (3.5), we obtain the explicit form of the symplectic 2-form as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{CP^N} &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \left(1_N+\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} & \\ & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right) \\ &= \left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \left(1_N+\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \left(1_N - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right) d\boldsymbol{\xi} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

where we have used the relation

$$\left(1_N+\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{-1} = 1_N - \boldsymbol{\xi}\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger = 1_N - \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} , \tag{3.9}$$

in the last equality. The volume form on CP^N is then

$$\Omega_{CP^N} = \frac{\omega_{CP^N}^N}{N!} = \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\left(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} . \tag{3.10}$$

CQ^N is defined by

$$CQ^N \equiv \left\{ Q \in M(N+1;C) | Q^2 = Q, \eta Q^\dagger \eta = Q, \mathrm{tr} Q = 1 \right\} . \tag{3.11}$$

CQ^N consists of two connected components;

$$\begin{aligned} CQ^N &= CQ^N_+ \cup CQ^N_- , \\ CQ^N_+ &\equiv \left\{ V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \middle| V \in U(1,N) \right\} \\ &\cong \frac{U(1,N)}{U(1)\times U(N)} \cong D^N(C) \equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbf{C}^N | \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \boldsymbol{z} < 1 \right\} , \\ CQ^N_- &\equiv \left\{ V \begin{pmatrix} 0 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} \middle| V \in U(1,N) \right\} , \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where

$$U(1,N) \equiv \Big\{ V \in M(N+1;C) | V^\dagger \eta V = \eta \Big\} \ , \tag{3.13}$$

and

$$\eta \equiv \mathrm{diag}(1,-1,\cdots,-1) \ . \tag{3.14}$$

We should note that although we have used, in section 2, $\eta = \mathrm{diag}(1,\cdots,1,-1)$ for consistency with the previous work [8], we use (3.14) in this section. Hereafter we consider CQ^N_+ part only and we write CQ^N_+ as CQ^N. CQ^N has only one local chart

$$Q = \frac{1}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & -\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \ , \tag{3.15}$$

where

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \in D^N \ . \tag{3.16}$$

The symplectic 2-form on CQ^N is defined by

$$\omega_{CQ^N} \equiv \mathrm{tr}(QdQ \wedge dQ) \ . \tag{3.17}$$

By a similar way with the CP^N case, we calculate (3.17). Q is rewritten by

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \ , \tag{3.18}$$

and

$$dQ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \Big(1_N-\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \ . \tag{3.19}$$

Thus the symplectic 2-form becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{CQ^N} &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \Big(1_N-\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} & \\ & 0_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \\ \boldsymbol{\xi} & 1_N \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \right) \\ &= \Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \Big(1_N-\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\Big)^{-1} d\boldsymbol{\xi} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \wedge \left(1_N + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right) d\boldsymbol{\xi} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where we have used the relation

$$\Big(1_N-\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\Big)^{-1} = 1_N + \boldsymbol{\xi}\Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{-1}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger = 1_N + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} \ . \tag{3.21}$$

Thus the volume form is

$$\Omega_{CQ^N} = \frac{\omega_{CQ^N}^N}{N!} = \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} \ . \tag{3.22}$$

We have obtained the symplectic 2-forms on CP^N and CQ^N. The difference between them is sign of denominators. The expression of CP^N is unitary, while that of CQ^N is not unitary. Now we construct ω_{CQ^N} from unitary form with taking account of the above difference. We embed CQ^N to $CP(l^2(C))$. $CP(l^2(C))$ is defined by

$$CP\left(l^2(C)\right) \equiv \left\{P \in M\left(l^2(C)\right) | P^2 = P, P^\dagger = P, \mathrm{tr} P = 1\right\} \ , \tag{3.23}$$

where $l^2(C)$ is defined by

$$l^2(C) \equiv \left\{\boldsymbol{z} \in C^\infty | \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \boldsymbol{z} < \infty\right\} \ , \tag{3.24}$$

and $M(l^2(C))$ denotes whole matrices of bounded linear operators from $l^2(C)$ to $l^2(C)$. We put

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P} \equiv \hat{P}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right) &\equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \end{pmatrix} \left(1 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} & \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \\ & 0_\infty \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} & 1_\infty \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

The symplectic 2-form is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\omega} &\equiv \mathrm{tr}\left(\hat{P} d\hat{P} \wedge d\hat{P}\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{1 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}} \left(d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \wedge d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} - \frac{d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \wedge \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}{1 + \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}\right) \ ,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

where the result of the CP^N case, (3.8), has been put in the second equality. Hereafter we identify $l^2(C)$ as the Fock space generated from C^N;

$$l^2(C) \cong C \oplus C^N \oplus C^N \otimes C^N \oplus \cdots \oplus \left(C^N\right)^{\otimes n} \oplus \cdots \ , \tag{3.27}$$

and assign

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} \equiv \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}, \boldsymbol{\xi}\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}, \cdots, \boldsymbol{\xi}^{\otimes n}, \cdots\right)^T ,\qquad \boldsymbol{\xi} \in D^N . \tag{3.28}$$

Then noting that

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} &= \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi} + \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^2 + \cdots + \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^n + \cdots \\ &= \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} &= \frac{1}{\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^2}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger d\boldsymbol{\xi} , \\ d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} &= \frac{1}{\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^2}d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi} , \\ d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\wedge d\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} &= \frac{1}{\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^2}d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\wedge d\boldsymbol{\xi} + \frac{2}{\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^3}d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger d\boldsymbol{\xi} ,\end{aligned} \tag{3.30}$$

we obtain the explicit form of (3.26) as

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\omega} &= \frac{1}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\left(d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\wedge d\boldsymbol{\xi} + \frac{d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\wedge\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger d\boldsymbol{\xi}}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}d\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\wedge\left(1_N + \frac{\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)d\boldsymbol{\xi} .\end{aligned} \tag{3.31}$$

This result is quite the same with (3.20). The symplectic 2-form on CQ^N has been constructed with the unitary expression. We note that the similar discussion for CP^N is also possible. However the embedding of CP^N to $CP(l^2(C))$ is trivial:

$$\boldsymbol{\xi} \to \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} = \begin{pmatrix}\boldsymbol{\xi} \\ 0 \\ \vdots\end{pmatrix} . \tag{3.32}$$

4 Classical Partition Functions on CP^N and CQ^N Revisited

In this section we revisit the classical partition functions of CP^N and CQ^N. We make the exact calculations of them directly. We also calculate them by lifting to the Gaussian forms.

4.1 The Partition Function of CP^N

In this subsection we construct the partition function of CP^N and calculate it exactly. CP^N is defined in (3.1).

We construct the partition function of CP^N. By putting

$$\boldsymbol{z} = U \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv U\mathbf{e}_1 \ , \tag{4.1}$$

an element of CP^N becomes

$$P = U \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} U^{-1} = \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \ . \tag{4.2}$$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} S_C^N &\equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{z} \in C^{N+1} | \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \boldsymbol{z} = 1 \right\} \\ &= \{ U\mathbf{e}_1 | U \in U(N+1) \} \\ &\cong \frac{U(N+1)}{U(N)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and a map

$$\pi \ : \ S_C^N \to CP^N \ , \ \pi(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \ . \tag{4.4}$$

(4.2) indicates that π is an onto-mapping. Also

$$\pi\left(e^{i\theta}\boldsymbol{z}\right) = \pi(\boldsymbol{z}) \ , \tag{4.5}$$

holds. Thus π induces the principal $U(1)$ bundle.

$$U(1) \to S_C^N \to CP^N \ . \tag{4.6}$$

We define a Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H &\equiv \mathrm{tr}(Ph) \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\Big(\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger h\Big) \\ &= \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger h \boldsymbol{z} \qquad \text{under } \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \boldsymbol{z} = 1 \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \theta_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & \\ & \theta_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \theta_N \end{pmatrix} \ , \ (0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \cdots < \theta_N) \ . \tag{4.8}$$

Thus the "Gaussian form" of the partition function of CP^N is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\equiv \int \frac{\prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi^{N+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-\rho H - i\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \boldsymbol{z} - 1\right)} \\ &= \int \frac{\prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi^{N+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \exp\Bigg[-\rho \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \theta_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2 - i\lambda\Bigg(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} |z_\alpha|^2 - 1\Bigg)\Bigg] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

To examine the equivalence between (4.9) and the standard form of the partition function which is given by

$$\int \Omega_{CP^N} e^{-\rho \mathrm{tr}(Ph)} \ , \tag{4.10}$$

we make a change of variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_\alpha &= z_\alpha / z_0 \ , \ (\alpha = 1, \cdots, N) \ , \\ \eta &= z_0 \Bigg(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} |z_\alpha / z_0|^2 \Bigg)^{1/2} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Equation (4.9) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{C}^N} & \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N \Big(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{N+1}} \int_{\mathbf{C}} \frac{d\eta}{\pi} |\eta|^{2N} \\ & \times \exp\Bigg[-\rho \frac{\theta_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha |\xi_\alpha|^2}{\Big(1 + \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{N+1}} - i\lambda\Big(|\eta|^2 - 1\Big)\Bigg] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

After integrating the angular part of η and writing the λ-integral as the δ-function, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int_{\mathbf{C}^N} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N \Big(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{N+1}} \int_0^\infty dv v^N \delta(v-1) \exp\Big[-\rho v \frac{\theta_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \theta_\alpha \left|\xi_\alpha\right|^2}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}}\Big] \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{C}^N} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N \Big(1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{N+1}} \exp\Big[-\rho \frac{\theta_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \theta_\alpha \left|\xi_\alpha\right|^2}{1+\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}}\Big] , \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

where we have put $\eta = \sqrt{v} e^{i\phi}$. This is of course the standard form of the classical partition function of CP^N.

By the DH theorem, we evaluate [8] this integral,(4.13), to

$$Z = \sum_{\alpha=0}^N \frac{e^{-\rho\theta_\alpha}}{\rho^N \prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^N (\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha)} . \tag{4.14}$$

We calculate (4.13) exactly. Making a change of variables such that

$$\xi_\alpha = \sqrt{u_\alpha} e^{i\varphi_\alpha} , \tag{4.15}$$

and performing φ_α-integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int_0^\infty \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N du_\alpha}{\Big(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^N u_\alpha\Big)^{N+1}} \exp\Big[-\rho \frac{\theta_0 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \theta_\alpha u_\alpha}{1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^N u_\alpha}\Big] , \\ &= e^{-\rho\theta_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N du_\alpha}{\Big(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^N u_\alpha\Big)^{N+1}} \exp\Big[-\rho \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\theta_\alpha - \theta_0) u_\alpha}{1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^N u_\alpha}\Big] . \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

We will obtain (4.14) by mathematical induction for N. Changing variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} u_\alpha &= t_\alpha u_N \ , \ (\alpha = 1, \cdots, N-1) \ , \\ x &= \sum_{\alpha=1}^N u_\alpha \ , \\ &\frac{\partial(u_1, \cdots, u_N)}{\partial(t_1, \cdots, t_{N-1}, x)} = \frac{x^{N-1}}{\Big(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} t_\alpha\Big)^N} , \end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

leads to

$$\begin{aligned} &Z_{N+1}(\rho; \theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_N) \\ &\quad = e^{-\rho\theta_0} \int_0^\infty \frac{x^{N-1} dx}{(1+x)^{N+1}} \int_0^\infty \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^N dt_\alpha}{\Big(1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1} t_\alpha\Big)^N} \end{aligned}$$

$$\times \exp\Big[-\rho\frac{x}{1+x}\frac{(\theta_N-\theta_0)+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1}(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)t_\alpha}{1+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N-1}t_\alpha}\Big]$$
$$= e^{-\rho\theta_0}\int_0^\infty \frac{x^{N-1}dx}{(1+x)^{N+1}} Z_N\Big(\rho\frac{x}{1+x};\theta_1-\theta_0,\cdots,\theta_N-\theta_0\Big)\ , \tag{4.18}$$

where we have written Z as $Z_{N+1}(\rho;\theta_0,\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_N)$ to emphasize dependency on the parameters. By assumption we put

$$Z_N\Big(\rho\frac{x}{1+x};\theta_1-\theta_0,\cdots,\theta_N-\theta_0\Big)$$
$$=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{e^{-\rho\frac{x}{1+x}(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)}}{\Big(\rho\frac{x}{1+x}\Big)^{N-1}\prod_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}\{(\theta_\beta-\theta_0)-(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)\}}\ , \tag{4.19}$$

to obtain

$$Z_{N+1}(\rho;\theta_0,\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_N)$$
$$=\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\rho^{N-1}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}\int_0^\infty\frac{dx}{(1+x)^2}e^{-\rho\frac{x}{1+x}(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)}$$
$$=\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\rho^{N-1}}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=1\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}\frac{1-e^{-\rho(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)}}{\rho(\theta_\alpha-\theta_0)}$$
$$=\frac{1}{\rho^N}\Big[-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{e^{\rho\theta_0}}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}\Big]\ . \tag{4.20}$$

Noting the relation (see Appendix B),

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}=-\frac{1}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_0)}\ , \tag{4.21}$$

we finally obtain

$$Z_{N+1}(\rho;\theta_1,\cdots,\theta_0)$$
$$=\frac{1}{\rho^N}\Big[\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_0)}+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}\Big]$$
$$=\frac{1}{\rho^N}\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N}\frac{e^{-\rho\theta_\alpha}}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0\\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N}(\theta_\beta-\theta_\alpha)}\ . \tag{4.22}$$

Equation (4.22) coincides with the result of the DH theorem. In other words the DH theorem holds in the partition function of CP^N.

Next we calculate (4.9). To obtain (4.13), we perform the λ-integral in (4.9). Now we perform the $\boldsymbol{z}$-integrals, which are the Gaussian, before the λ-integrals to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-i\lambda} \int \frac{\prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi^{N+1}} \exp\Big[-\sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} (\rho\theta_\alpha + i\lambda)|z_\alpha|^2 \Big] \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{i\lambda} \prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} \frac{1}{\rho\theta_\alpha + i\lambda} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

(4.23) is easily calculated by contour integral to be

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \mathrm{Res}_{z=i\rho\theta_\alpha} e^{iz} \prod_{\beta=0}^{N} \frac{1}{\rho\theta_\beta + iz} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} e^{-\rho\theta_\alpha} \prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N} \frac{1}{\rho(\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Of course this result coincides with (4.22). We note that (4.22) (and (4.24)) can be written by the determinant form

$$Z = \frac{1}{\rho^N} \begin{vmatrix} e^{-\rho\theta_0} & e^{-\rho\theta_1} & \cdots & e^{-\rho\theta_N} \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_0^{N-2} & \theta_1^{N-2} & \cdots & \theta_N^{N-2} \end{vmatrix} \Bigg/ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_N \\ \theta_0^2 & \theta_1^2 & \cdots & \theta_N^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_0^{N-1} & \theta_1^{N-1} & \cdots & \theta_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} \ . \tag{4.25}$$

4.2 The Partition Function of CQ^N

In this subsection we construct the partition function of CQ^N and calculate it exactly.

CQ^N is defined in (3.11). By putting

$$\boldsymbol{z} = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = V\mathbf{e}_1 \ , \tag{4.26}$$

an element of CQ^N becomes

$$V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^{-1} = V \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 0 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} V^\dagger \eta = \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \ , \tag{4.27}$$

where we have used $V^{-1} = \eta V^\dagger \eta$ in (3.13). Then we define

$$\begin{aligned} Q_C^N &\equiv \left\{ \boldsymbol{z} \in \mathbf{C}^{N+1} | \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \boldsymbol{z} = 1 \right\} \\ &= \{ V\mathbf{e}_1 | V \in U(1,N) \} \\ &\cong \frac{U(1,N)}{U(N)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

and a map

$$\pi \ : \ Q_C^N \to CQ^N, \ \pi(\boldsymbol{z}) \equiv \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \ . \tag{4.29}$$

From (4.27), we find that π is onto-mapping. Also

$$\pi\left(e^{i\theta}\boldsymbol{z}\right) = \pi(\boldsymbol{z}) \ , \tag{4.30}$$

holds. Thus π induces the principal $U(1)$ bundle;

$$U(1) \to Q_C^N \to CQ^N \ . \tag{4.31}$$

We define a Hamiltonian as

$$\begin{aligned} H &\equiv \mathrm{tr} Q h \\ &= \mathrm{tr}\left(\boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta h \right) \\ &= \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta h \boldsymbol{z} \qquad \text{under } \boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \boldsymbol{z} = 1 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.32}$$

where we have put

$$Q \equiv \boldsymbol{z}\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \ , \tag{4.33}$$

and

$$h = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & \\ & \theta_1 & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \theta_N \end{pmatrix} \ , \ (\theta_0 > \theta_1 > \cdots > \theta_N > 0) \ . \tag{4.34}$$

We should pay attention to the ordering of θ_α's. (See (4.8).) The explicit form of (4.32) is

$$H = \theta_0 |z_0|^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2 \ . \tag{4.35}$$

Thus the "Gaussian form" of the partition function of CQ^N is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Z &\equiv \int \frac{\prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi^{N+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-\rho H + i\lambda\left(\boldsymbol{z}^\dagger \eta \boldsymbol{z} - 1\right)} \\ &= \int \frac{\prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi^{N+1}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-\rho\left(\theta_0 |z_0|^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha |z_\alpha|^2\right) + i\lambda\left(|z_0|^2 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} |z_\alpha|^2 - 1\right)} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.36}$$

To examine the equivalence between (4.36) and the standard form, we make a change of variables such that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta &= z_0 \sqrt{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} |z_\alpha / z_0|^2} \ , \\ \xi_\alpha &= z_\alpha / z_0 \ , \ (\alpha = 1, \cdots, N) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

Equation (4.36) then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} Z = \int_{D^N} & \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N \left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} \int \frac{d\zeta^* d\zeta}{\pi} \delta\left(|\zeta|^2 - 1\right) \\ & \times \exp\left[-\rho |\zeta|^2 \frac{\theta_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha \xi_\alpha^* \xi_\alpha}{1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}} \right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

Putting $\zeta = \sqrt{r} e^{i\varphi}$ and integrating with respect to r and φ, we obtain

$$Z \equiv \int_{D^N} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N \left(1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}\right)^{N+1}} \exp\left[-\rho \frac{\theta_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha \xi_\alpha^* \xi_\alpha}{1 - \boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger \boldsymbol{\xi}} \right] \ . \tag{4.39}$$

This is the standard form of the classical partition function of CQ^N.

Now we calculate (4.39) directly. Making a change of variables such that

$$\xi_\alpha = \sqrt{u_\alpha} e^{i\varphi_\alpha} \ , \tag{4.40}$$

and performing φ_α-integrals, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} Z &= \int_{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha < 1} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} du_\alpha}{\left(1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha\right)^{N+1}} \exp\left[-\rho \frac{\theta_0 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \theta_\alpha u_\alpha}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha} \right] \\ &= e^{-\rho\theta_0} \int_{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha < 1} \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N} du_\alpha}{\left(1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha\right)^{N+1}} \exp\left[-\rho \frac{\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} (\theta_0 - \theta_\alpha) u_\alpha}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha} \right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{4.41}$$

Then putting

$$\begin{aligned} x_\alpha &= \frac{u_\alpha}{1 - \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} u_\alpha} \ , \ (1 \le \alpha \le N) \ , \\ \frac{\partial(u_1, \cdots, u_N)}{\partial(x_1, \cdots, x_N)} &= \frac{1}{\left(1 + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} x_\alpha\right)^{N+1}} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{4.42}$$

leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z &= e^{-\rho\theta_0}\int_0^{\infty}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}dx_\alpha \exp\Big[-\rho\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}(\theta_0-\theta_\alpha)x_\alpha\Big] \\
&= \frac{e^{-\rho\theta_0}}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\rho(\theta_0-\theta_\alpha)}\ . \qquad (4.43)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is the exact result, which corresponds to (4.22) in CP^N case.

Next we perform the z_0-integral as the Gaussian in (4.36);

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{d\lambda}{2\pi}\frac{e^{-i\lambda}}{\rho\theta_0-i\lambda}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\int\frac{dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi}e^{z_\alpha^*(\rho\theta_\alpha-i\lambda)z_\alpha} \\
&= e^{-\rho\theta_0}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{dz_\alpha^* dz_\alpha}{\pi}e^{-\rho z_\alpha^*(\theta_0-\theta_\alpha)z_\alpha}\ , \qquad (4.44)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have made residue calculations with respect to λ in the second equality. Noting that $\theta_0-\theta_\alpha>0$, we perform the z_α-integral to find

$$
Z = e^{-\rho\theta_0}\frac{1}{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}\rho(\theta_0-\theta_\alpha)}\ . \qquad (4.45)
$$

This result corresponds to (4.24) in CP^N case and, of course, coincides with (4.43). We note that, as similar with CP^N case, (4.25), (4.43) (or (4.45)) can be written by the determinant form

$$
Z = \frac{(-1)^N}{\rho^N}\begin{vmatrix} e^{-\rho\theta_0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_N \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_0^{N-2} & \theta_1^{N-2} & \cdots & \theta_N^{N-2} \end{vmatrix} \Bigg/ \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_N \\ \theta_0^2 & \theta_1^2 & \cdots & \theta_N^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_0^{N-1} & \theta_1^{N-1} & \cdots & \theta_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix}\ . \qquad (4.46)
$$

4.3 The Partition Function of CQ^N from the "Universal" Partition Function of $CP(l^2(C))$

In this subsection we construct the partition function of CQ^N by means of the notion developed in the last part of the previous section, that is, the embedding of CQ^N to $CP(l^2(C))$. $CP(l^2(C))$ is defined in (3.23) and the symplectic 2-form is in (3.26). Since the "Liouville measure", $\lim_{N\to\infty}\hat{\omega}^N/N!$, diverges, there needs some regularization. If it is possible, the "universal" partition function is defined by

$$\int_{CP(l^2(C))} dv_{CP(l^2(C))}\left(\hat{P}\right)e^{-\rho\mathrm{tr}\left(\hat{P}\hat{H}\right)}\ , \tag{4.47}$$

where $dv_{CP(l^2(C))}$ is a regularized measure. Unfortunately we do not know whether (4.47) can be defined, however we can define the pullback to CQ^N. The definition of CQ^N is given in (3.11) and the symplectic 2-form of CQ^N is given in (3.17). Thus we obtain

$$\frac{\omega^N_{CQ^N}}{N!}=\frac{\hat{\omega}^N_{CP(l^2(C))}}{N!}\ , \tag{4.48}$$

by (3.31). Hamiltonian of CQ^N is given in (4.34). We define a Hamiltonian of $CP(l^2(C))$ from that of CQ^N such that

$$\hat{H}=\begin{pmatrix} \theta_0 & & & & \\ & 2\theta_0 1_N-\tilde{\theta} & & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & & \left(n\theta_0 1_N-(n-1)\tilde{\theta}\right)\otimes\overbrace{1_N\otimes\cdots\otimes 1_N}^{n-2} & \\ & & & & \ddots \end{pmatrix}\ , \tag{4.49}$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta}=\begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \theta_N \end{pmatrix}\ . \tag{4.50}$$

Using the explicit form of $\hat{P}$ in (3.25)

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}&=\frac{1}{1+\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}}\begin{pmatrix}1 & \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\\ \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}} & \hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\end{pmatrix}\\ &=\left(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\right)\begin{pmatrix}1 & & & & & \\ & \boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger & & & * & \\ & & \boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\otimes\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger & & & \\ & & & \ddots & & \\ & ** & & & \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\right)^{\otimes(n-1)} & \\ & & & & & \ddots\end{pmatrix}\ ,\\ &\left(\begin{array}{c}\left(1+\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}^\dagger\hat{\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right)^{-1}=1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\ ,\\ *,**\ \text{denote unnecessary elements for later calculation}\end{array}\right)\ ,\end{aligned} \tag{4.51}$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{tr}\Big(\hat{P}\hat{H}\Big) &= \Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)\left[\theta_0+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\Big\{n\theta_0\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}-(n-1)\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\tilde{\theta}\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big\}\Big(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{n-2}\right] \\
&= \Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)\Big(\theta_0-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\tilde{\theta}\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}n\Big(\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{n-1} \\
&= \frac{\theta_0-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\tilde{\theta}\boldsymbol{\xi}}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}} \\
&= \frac{\theta_0-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\theta_\alpha|\xi_\alpha|^2}{1-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}|\xi_\alpha|^2}\ . \qquad (4.52)
\end{aligned}
$$

This is nothing but $\mathrm{tr}(QH)$ on CQ^N. Thus we obtain the partition function;

$$
Z \equiv \int_{D^N}\frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{N}d\xi_\alpha^* d\xi_\alpha}{\pi^N\Big(1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}\Big)^{N+1}}\exp\left[-\rho\frac{\theta_0-\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N}\theta_\alpha\xi_\alpha^*\xi_\alpha}{1-\boldsymbol{\xi}^\dagger\boldsymbol{\xi}}\right]\ , \qquad (4.53)
$$

which is just the partition function of CQ^N, (4.39).

5 Discussion

We have examined the WKB-exactness of the trace formula of some representation of $U(N,1)$ in terms of the multi-periodic coherent state as an extension of our previous works. We will be able to examine the WKB-exactness of trace formulas in some representations of more complex manifolds such as the flag manifold in some coherent states. However all of the coherent states will not lead to the WKB-exactness although it holds in the same Hamiltonian with some coherent states. It is interesting that what classes of coherent states lead to the WKB-exactness.

We have performed the exact calculations of the classical partition functions of CP^N and CQ^N directly and by lifting to the Gaussian forms. These discussions will be extended to the Grassmann manifold straightforwardly [17]. Also it may be applied to the flag manifold.

Acknowledgments

K. Fujii wishes to thank A. Asada for useful discussions.

K. Fujii was partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, No.08211103.

Appendix

A The Proof of (2.33)

We prove the formula,

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i2n\pi\varepsilon}}{2n\pi+\varphi} = \frac{ie^{-i\varphi\varepsilon}}{1-e^{-i\varphi}}\ ,\ (0<\varepsilon<1)\ . \tag{A.1}$$

Consider the function

$$f(x) = e^{-i\varphi x}\ ,\ (0<x<1)\ , \tag{A.2}$$

and expand it as the Fourier series:

$$e^{-i\varphi x} = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f_n e^{i2\pi nx}\ . \tag{A.3}$$

Its coefficient is then read as

$$\begin{aligned} f_n &= \int_0^1 dx e^{-i2\pi nx} e^{-i\varphi x} \\ &= \frac{1-e^{-i\varphi}}{i(2\pi n+\varphi)}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

Thus (A.3) is

$$e^{-i\varphi x} = \frac{1-e^{-i\varphi}}{i} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i2\pi nx}}{2\pi n+\varphi}\ , \tag{A.5}$$

and then

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i2\pi nx}}{2\pi n+\varphi} = \frac{ie^{-i\varphi x}}{1-e^{-i\varphi}}\ . \tag{A.6}$$

If we put $x=\varepsilon$ in (A.6), we just obtain the formula. Also we can write (A.6) to the form

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i2\pi nx}}{2\pi n+\varphi} = \frac{e^{i(1/2-x)\varphi}}{2\sin\frac{\varphi}{2}}\ , \tag{A.7}$$

which is the formula used in [14].

B The Proof of (4.21)

We define symbols;

$$\Delta \equiv \prod_{\alpha=0}^{N} \prod_{\beta=0}^{\alpha-1} (\theta_\alpha - \theta_\beta) \ ,$$
$$\Delta(\alpha) \equiv \prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N} \prod_{\substack{\gamma=0 \\ \gamma\neq\alpha}}^{\beta-1} (\theta_\beta - \theta_\gamma) \ , \tag{B.1}$$

where Δ is the $N+1$-th Vandermonde's determinant and $\Delta(\alpha)$ is N-th one omitted θ_α. Then the relation

$$\prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha) = (-1)^\alpha \frac{\Delta}{\Delta(\alpha)} \ , \tag{B.2}$$

holds. Thus the left-hand side of (4.21) is

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha)} &= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \frac{1}{\prod_{\substack{\beta=0 \\ \beta\neq\alpha}}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_\alpha)} - \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_0)} \\
&= \sum_{\alpha=0}^{N} \frac{(-1)^\alpha \Delta(\alpha)}{\Delta} - \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_0)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\Delta} \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ \theta_0 & \theta_1 & \cdots & \theta_N \\ \theta_0^2 & \theta_1^2 & \cdots & \theta_N^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \theta_0^{N-1} & \theta_1^{N-1} & \cdots & \theta_N^{N-1} \end{vmatrix} - \frac{1}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_0)} \\
&= -\frac{1}{\prod_{\beta=1}^{N} (\theta_\beta - \theta_0)} \\
&= (\text{r.h.s. of (4.21)}) \ .
\end{aligned} \tag{B.3}$$

C The Proof of the Closedness of ω

From the property of projection, $P^2 = P$, the symplectic 2-form is written by

$$\omega \equiv \mathrm{tr}(PdP \wedge dP) = \mathrm{tr}(PdP \wedge dPP) \ . \tag{C.1}$$

The exterior derivative of it then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= 2\mathrm{tr}(PdP \wedge dP \wedge dP) \\ &= 2\mathrm{tr}(PdP \wedge dP \wedge dPP) \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.2}$$

where $P^2 = P$ has been used in the second equality. Further multiplying P to $dP = PdP + dPP$, which is the exterior derivative of $P = P^2$, we obtain

$$PdPP = 0 \ , \tag{C.3}$$

and its derivative,

$$PdP \wedge dP = dP \wedge dPP \ . \tag{C.4}$$

Then (C.2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} d\omega &= 2\mathrm{tr}(dP \wedge dP \wedge PdPP) \\ &= 0 \ , \end{aligned} \tag{C.5}$$

where (C.4) has been used in the first equality and (C.3) has been applied in the second equality. Thus we conclude that the symplectic 2-form ω is close. Note that the proof is global since no any local charts do not appeared in it.

References

[1] M. Stone, Nucl. Phys. **B314** (1989) 557.

[2] S. G. Rajeev, S. K. Rama, and S. Sen, J. Math. Phys. **35** (1994) 2259.

[3] R. F. Picken, J. Phys. A. (Math. Gen) **22** (1989) 2285.
L. S. Schulman, Phys. Rev. **176** (1968) 1558.
J. S. Dowker, J. Phys. **A3** (1970) 451.

[4] M. Blau, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A** (1991) 365.

[5] J.J. Duistermaat and G. J. Heckman, Invent. Math. **69** (1982) 259, *ibid.* **72** (1983) 153.

[6] M.F. Atiyah, Asterisque **131** (1985) 43.

[7] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda and K. Fujii, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 3232.

[8] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Sakoda and K. Fujii, J. Math. Phys. **36** (1995) 4590.

[9] K. Fujii, T. Kashiwa and S. Sakoda, J. Math. Phys. **37** (1996) 567.

[10] A. Perelomov, *Generalized Coherent States and Their Applications* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986).

[11] J. Schwinger, *ON ANGULAR MOMENTUM* in *QUANTUM THEORY OF ANGULAR MOMENTUM* (Academic press, New York, 1965).

[12] H. B. Nielsen and D. Rohrlich, Nucl. Phys. **B299** (1988) 471.

[13] T. Kashiwa, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A5** (1990) 375.

[14] K. Funahashi, T. Kashiwa, S. Nima and S. Sakoda, Nucl. Phys. **B453** (1995) 508.

[15] K. Fujii and K. Funahashi, to be published in J. Math. Phys.

[16] R. F. Picken, J. Math. Phys. **31** (1990) 616.

[17] K. Fujii, in preparation.